

## IEEE Standards Interpretation for IEEE Std 1003.1™-1990 IEEE Standard for Information Technology--Portable Operating System Interfaces (POSIX®)

Copyright © 2001 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 3 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016-5997 USA All Rights Reserved.

Interpretations are issued to explain and clarify the intent of a standard and do not constitute an alteration to the original standard. In addition, interpretations are not intended to supply consulting information. Permission is hereby granted to download and print one copy of this document. Individuals seeking permission to reproduce and/or distribute this document in its entirety or portions of this document must contact the IEEE Standards Department for the appropriate license. Use of the information contained in this document is at your own risk.

IEEE Standards Department Copyrights and Permissions 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855-1331, USA

### Interpretation Request #54

**Topic:** extern int errno **Relevant Sections:** 2.4 **Classification:** No change

On page 23, section 2.4, on line 502, the POSIX standard specifies the attributes that are required for the 'errno' variable. The lines states: extern int errno;

This is expressed as a specific 'C' declaration. I believe that this is overly specific, and only represents a short hand notation. Other areas within this standard do not use this format, as in the 'stat' structure in section 5.6.1.

I believe that the description should be spelled out as being an expression representing an assignable integer variable with global scope or expands to a modifiable lvalue of type int

This will permit the declaration that is specified, while also permitting other implementations which satisfy the same need. To get the values that are returned in this variable, <errno.h> must be included, and that is typically where the 'errno' variable is typically defined.

This same topic has also come up in the POSIX.4a working group and is mentioned in the latest drafts in section 2.5.2. They propose specific alteration of the standard; I propose an interpretation that permits flexibility in the declaration and may also solve the problem the P1003.4 group is attempting to solve.

Attached is a note that I received from one of the members of the P1003.1 Working Group. It gives a different perspective on the problem and the history of the text in the standard. (Paul Wanish)

#### Text of Note

The POSIX C bindings should use exactly the ANSI C definition of `errno`, which says that `errno` “expands to a modifiable lvalue of type `int`.” This ALLOWS an implementation to do something like:

```
#define errno (*__errno_val)
extern int *__errno_val;
```

but also allows the more traditional definition of just “`extern int errno`”. The main difference between POSIX.1-1990 and ANSI C is that POSIX explicitly allows an application to contain the statement: `extern int errno`;

I believe that this would even be allowed if the application didn’t include `<errno.h>`. This is different than ANSI C, and means:

- (1) A straight ANSI C application still is OK under POSIX.1.
- (2) An implementation that sticks with ANSI C is OK under POSIX.1.
- (3) An application that includes “`extern int errno`” is OK under POSIX.1 but not ANSI C.
- (4) An implementation that defines `errno` as a preprocessor define is OK under ANSI C but not POSIX.1.

This definition was put into POSIX.1-1988 primarily to accomodate Common Usage C implementations and existing applications. There existed (and still exist?) many historical applications that didn’t bother to include `<errno.h>`, but just defined `errno` themselves. This was common practice, and the committee could not reach a consensus on requiring them to change. (Also, frankly, I don’t think that anyone had any idea of why it might be a good idea to define things the ANSI way, at least in the U\*\*X world.) The “no substantive change” rule precluded this being changed in -1990.

However, time has passed. ANSI compilers are edging out older compilers, and the disadvantages of the POSIX definition of `errno` is becoming clear. It’s time to change it.

#### Interpretation Response

IEEE Std 1003.1-1990 specifies that `extern int errno`; is the correct declaration for `errno`. This is not a shorthand for a different meaning.

#### Rationale for Interpretation

The standard means exactly what it says. This issue has been resolved in the manner suggested by the requester in IEEE Draft Standard P1003.1a, which is now in ballot.